```
1
         IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2
                  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA
3
4
    W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
5
    capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
    OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
6
    OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE
    ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT,)
7
    in his capacity as the
    TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
8
    FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
9
                 Plaintiff,
10
                                   ) 4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ
    VS.
11
    TYSON FOODS, INC., et al,
12
                 Defendants.
13
14
                      THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
15
    ROBERT TAYLOR, PhD, produced as a witness on
    behalf of the Defendants in the above styled and
16
17
    numbered cause, taken on the 15th day of July, 2008,
18
    in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of
19
    Oklahoma, before me, Lisa A. Steinmeyer, a Certified
20
    Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under and by
21
    virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.
22
23
24
25
```

1	<b>A</b> P P E A R A N C E S	
2 3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: Mr. David Riggs	
4	Mr. David Page Mr. Richard Garren	
5	Attorneys at Law 502 West 6th Street Tulsa, OK 74119	
6	-and- Ms. Claire Xidis	
7	Attorney at Law P. O. Box 1792	
8 9	Mt. Pleasant, SC 29465	
10	FOR TYSON FOODS: Mr. Brian Burns Attorney at Law 2210 West Oaklawn Drive Springdale, AR 72762	
12	FOR CARGILL: Mr. Colin Tucker	
13	Attorney at Law 100 West 5th Street Suite 400	
15	Tulsa, OK 74103	
16 17	FOR SIMMONS FOODS: Mr. John Elrod Attorney at Law	
	211 East Dickson Street Fayetteville, AR 72701	
18 19	FOR PETERSON FARMS: Mr. Philip Hixon Attorney at Law	
20	320 South Boston Suite 700	
21 22	Tulsa, OK 74103	
23	FOR GEORGE'S:  Mr. Woodson Bassett  Attorney at Law	
24 25	221 North College Fayetteville, AR 72701	

```
(Whereupon, the deposition began at
1
     9:00 a.m.)
2
 3
               VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the Record for
     the deposition of Dr. Robert Taylor. Today is July
 4
 5
     15th, 2008. The time is 8:59 a.m. Would counsel
                                                                    08:59AM
     please identify themselves for the Record?
6
7
               MR. ELROD: John Elrod for Simmons.
               MR. BASSETT: Woody Bassett for the
8
     George's defendants.
9
               MR. HIXON: Philip Hixon for Peterson
10
                                                                    08:59AM
11
     Farms.
               MR. TUCKER: Colin Tucker for Cargill and
12
13
     Cargill Turkey Production.
14
               MR. RIGGS: Jennifer?
               MS. GRIFFIN: Jennifer Griffin for Willow
15
                                                                   08:59AM
16
     Brook Foods.
               MR. RIGGS: David Riggs for the State of
17
18
     Oklahoma.
               MS. XIDIS: Claire Xidis for the State of
19
20
     Oklahoma.
               VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. The witness may
21
22
     be sworn in.
23
                       ROBERT TAYLOR, PhD
24
     having first been duly sworn to testify the truth,
25
     the whole truth and nothing but the truth, testified
```

1		MR. RIGGS: Object to the form.		
2	A	Cake-out or dry it out or completely remove it		
3	and replace it.			
4	Q	But that recommendation might not be to remove		
5	it or	replace it; is that correct?	11:36AM	
6	A	Could be just to dry it out.		
7	Q	There could be other things that could be		
8	done,	such as increase the ventilation?		
9	A	Right.		
10	Q	Turn up the brooders?	11:36AM	
11	A	Yes.		
12	Q	Remove the wet cake?		
13	A	Right.		
14	Q	And if a service tech did ask the grower to		
15	remove	e the litter, do you have any information as to	11:36AM	
16	whether he would attempt to tell the grower what to			
17	do with it?			
18	A	As far as I know, there's no attempt to tell		
19	the gi	rower what to do with it.		
20	Q	If there's not a specific issue such as wet	11:36AM	
21	litte	r, who determines when to remove litter from a		
22	poult	ry house?		
23	A	I guess the grower has some latitude in		
24	cake-c	out or when it's completely replaced, but there		
25	are di	ifferences from one kind of contract to	11:37AM	

7			
1	another, and I don't recall all of them, but I		
2	recall in general. I don't know if the same applies		
3	to the IRW cases where the integrator actually		
4	provided the new litter, and a lot of them where		
5	it's up to the grower, so	11:37AM	
6	$oldsymbol{\mathtt{Q}}$ But generally speaking, the grower can decide		
7	whether to take his litter out or not?		
8	A Within the guidelines established by the		
9	integrator.		
10	Q Assuming a grower has removed the litter from	11:38AM	
11	his house, who decides whether he land applies that		
12	or puts it to some other use?		
13	A As far as I know, it's the grower.		
14	Q Who decides where he land applies it, if he		
15	decides to land apply it?	11:38AM	
16	A Grower.		
17	Q Who decides whether he sells it to someone?		
18	A Grower.		
19	Q Has it been your experience that growers		
20	generally want the litter?	11:38AM	
21	A Historically they wanted the litter, but in		
22	the areas of the United States where that have		
23	been identified as having or potentially having too		
24	much litter and the high P levels, then it kind of		
25	transitions from something that they want very	11:38AM	

```
what all was introduced. I wasn't even there for
 1
 2
     most of it.
 3
            So you don't -- the answer to my question is,
     you don't have any actual contracts to show us that
 4
 5
     illustrate that there's differences?
                                                                     11:54AM
            No.
 7
            You reference on page -- the end of Page 8 and
     beginning of Page 9, which is Paragraph 29 of your
 8
     report, recent contracts that cover longer time
 9
                                                                     11:55AM
     periods?
10
11
     Α
           Yes.
           And you state that Tyson's 2005 contract
12
     covers three years; is that correct?
13
            Yes.
14
     Α
15
            Are you aware that Tyson also offers
                                                                     11:55AM
     seven-year contracts to its growers?
16
17
            I have heard that, but the contracts I had, it
18
     was a three-year.
19
            Okay. Have you reviewed any of the Tyson
     contracts or any of the other contracts involved
20
                                                                     11:55AM
     with any of these other companies to determine if
21
     they provide for out-time pay?
22
23
           I have not reviewed them looking for that.
           Do you know what out-time pay is; do you know
24
25
     what I mean when I say that?
                                                                     11:55AM
```

1	A	When there are not birds there other than the	
2	norma	l cycle.	
3	Q	So the basic concept of that would be if a	
4	chicke	en company leaves a grower's house empty for an	
5	extend	ded period of time and that the company pays	11:55AM
6	that o	grower some money?	
7	A	I have not seen such a contract, but I was not	
8	lookir	ng through there for it. I cannot recall ever	
9	seein	g one like that.	
10	Q	You don't know whether they exist in this	11:56AM
11	waters	shed or not?	
12	A	I do not.	
13	Q	Okay. In Paragraph 31 you state, integrators	
14	typica	ally mandate specifications for poultry houses	
15	and ed	quipment and often require growers to make	11:56AM
16	invest	rments in upgrading equipment and facilities.	
17	A	Uh-huh.	
18	Q	Are you aware of a Tyson grower that's been	
19	termin	nated for failing to make upgrades in equipment	
20	and facilities? 11:56AM		
21	A	No.	
22	Q	Do you know whether Tyson typically does that	
23	on a v	voluntary basis?	
24	A	I do not know the extent to which it's	
25	volunt	cary encouraged or mandated.	11:56AM